IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

-

WRIT PETITION NO.9968 of 2001

Between:

Sri. Yamuna Wines Rep. by its Proprietor G.Chandra Reddy,

S/o Narsimha Reddy, R/o Damere Village, Atmakur Mandal,
Warangal District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The State of A.P. Rep by its Secretary, Revenue Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Prohibition and Excise Superintendent,
Warangal District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction especially one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the Proceedings of the second respondent in Rc.No.1242/P&E/2001 dt.2-5-2001 as illegal, arbitrary and subversive of the doctrine of legitimate expectations and restrain the respondents from granting any fresh I.L.24 licences in the Mandal during current excise year.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.J.VENKATESWARA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR PROHIBITION & EXCISE

The Court made the following:

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

Sri J. Venkateswara Reddy, learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petition has become infructuous.

The writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO,J)

29.06.2005

YS

To

1 The Secretary, Revenue Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

2 The Superintendent of Prohibiotion & Excise, Warangal District,

Warangal.

3 Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Prohibition & Excise,

High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT)

4 Two C.D. Copies.